

GUIDANCE RELATED TO THE ASSIGNMENT OF ACCREDITATION STATUSES

Criterion/Condition	Accredited-Warned	Accredited-Probation	Not Accredited-Revoked
Academic Accountability Rating	Two consecutive school years of an overall academic accountability rating of unacceptable performance as defined in the annual accountability manuals (Academically Unacceptable, Improvement Required, F ¹)	Three consecutive school years of an overall academic accountability rating of unacceptable as defined in the annual accountability manuals ²	Four consecutive school years of an overall academic accountability rating of unacceptable as defined in the annual accountability manuals ³
Financial Accountability Rating	Two consecutive school years of a financial accountability rating of F-Substandard Achievement	Three consecutive school years of a financial accountability rating of F-Substandard Achievement	Four consecutive school years of a financial accountability rating of F-Substandard Achievement
Individual Academic and Financial Accountability Rating Across Years	Two consecutive school years of any one of the ratings referenced above	Three consecutive school years of any one of the ratings referenced above	Four consecutive school years of any one of the ratings referenced above
Combined Academic and Financial Accountability Ratings in a Single Year	For one school year, a combination of ratings referenced above	For two consecutive school years, a combination of ratings referenced above	For three consecutive school years, a combination of ratings referenced above

¹ An overall D rating following an overall F rating is considered unacceptable performance (TEC, §39.054). However, the first or second overall D rating following an overall F rating is subject to a pause of increased interventions, if the overall D rating is the first or second overall D rating since receiving an overall C rating or higher, but does not break the chain of unacceptable performance (TEC, §39A.118). Three overall D ratings equal an unacceptable performance rating, which is treated as an overall F rating (TEC, §39A.118). After the third D, any additional Ds following an F or third D are considered further unacceptable ratings (TEC §§39.054 and 39.0543).

² *Id.*

³ *Id.*

Criterion/Condition	Accredited-Warned	Accredited-Probation	Not Accredited-Revoked
Failure to Comply with Requirements Related to Integrity of Assessment or Financial Data Used to Measure Performance	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052
Failure to Comply with Requirements Related to Reporting of PEIMS Data	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052
Failure to Comply with Requirements Related to Other Reports Required by State or Federal Law or Court Order	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052
Failure to Comply with Requirements Related to Awarding High School Graduation under TEC, §28.025	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052
Failure to Comply with Any Applicable Requirement under TEC, §7.056(e)(3)(C)-(I)	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	If commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052
Other: Action Reasonably Necessary to Achieve Purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	Notwithstanding the other items listed, if commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	Notwithstanding the other items listed, if commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052	Notwithstanding the other items listed, if commissioner determines this action is reasonably necessary to achieve the purposes of TEC, §39.051 and §39.052

Criterion/Condition	Accredited-Warned	Accredited-Probation	Not Accredited-Revoked
Serious or Persistent Deficiencies in Programs Monitored by PBMAS	After review or investigation under TEC, §39.056 or §39.003, the commissioner finds serious or persistent deficiencies that, if not addressed, may lead to probation or revocation of the district's accreditation	After review or investigation under TEC, §39.056 or §39.003, the commissioner finds serious or persistent deficiencies that, if not addressed, may lead to revocation of the district's accreditation	After review or investigation under TEC, §39.056 or §39.003, the commissioner finds serious or persistent deficiencies that require revocation of the district's accreditation
Otherwise Exhibits Serious or Persistent Deficiencies	After review or investigation under TEC, §39.056 or §39.003, the commissioner finds that the district exhibits other serious or persistent deficiencies that, if not addressed, may lead to probation or revocation of the district's accreditation	After review or investigation under TEC, §39.056 or §39.003, the commissioner finds serious or persistent deficiencies that, if not addressed, may lead to revocation of the district's accreditation	After review or investigation under TEC, §39.056 or §39.003, the commissioner finds serious or persistent deficiencies that require revocation of the district's accreditation
Legal Compliance with Statutes and Rules Specified in TEC, §39.052(b)(2)	Notwithstanding satisfactory or above satisfactory performance on other measures, a district's accreditation status may be assigned based on its legal compliance alone, to the extent the commissioner determines necessary	Notwithstanding satisfactory or above satisfactory performance on other measures, a district's accreditation status may be assigned based on its legal compliance alone, to the extent the commissioner determines necessary	Notwithstanding satisfactory or above satisfactory performance on other measures, a district's accreditation status may be assigned based on its legal compliance alone, to the extent the commissioner determines necessary

An accreditation status may be withheld pending final data necessary for the completion of status assignment.

For additional information on the assignment of accreditation statuses, see 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §97.1055 at:
<https://tea.texas.gov/laws-and-rules/commissioner-rules-tac/coe-tac-currently-effect/ch097ee.pdf>

This matrix provides guidance related to the assignment of accreditation statuses. Nothing in this document should be construed to substitute for the legal requirements reflected in statute or administrative code.